

THE DAILY EXAMINER

WACO, TEXAS, JULY 22, 1883.

For President of the United States

GROVER CLEVELAND,

—OF—

NEW YORK.

For Vice President

THOMAS A. HENDRICKS,

—OF—

INDIANA.

It is said that there are evidences of a revolt in Tammany against the present attitude of John Kelly. A number of prominent Tammany Democrats desire a flat-out declaration in favor of Cleveland.

The Memphis Avalanche talks very seriously when it says that it is a safe business to keep good men in office when once they are in. Rotation merely for the sake of rotation is sometimes hazardous.

"What is Butler doing after his niche in the Galveston News with a heading for an editorial. Perhaps he has one cock eye, or the Democratic party and is reviewing his Republican record with the other."

The Hon. John R. Lynch, who was temporary chairman of the recent Republican national convention at Chicago, will be the orator of the day at the Emancipation day celebration at Lockport, N. Y., on August 12.

The London Truth says that one of the reasons why croquet is coming in again is that it is a better diversion game than tennis. There doesn't seem to be much difference between English and American girls after all.

The Chicago Tribune didn't think much of Mr. Wm. Purcell, when he was a hard working Democrat. Now, however, that he has refused to do anything to aid Cleveland, the Tribune considers him "a man of character."

Max O'Neill writes to the editors of the Celtic to assure them that he is a Frenchman and that "John Bull et Son Be" was originally written in French, the author himself translating the book into English about a year after.

The Chinese district of New York has been thrown into a state of unmoved excitement by the arrival of the first Chinese lady. There are three or four other China women in the city, but none of them would be called in China a lady, for they have never had their feet bandaged.

The Mobile Register makes the very sensible remark that there is no good to be gained by discussing the question of who gained the advantage at Chicago, the protection or anti-protection advocates. The platform is a compromise of divergent views, which have all been brought together on the issue of reform.

PRESS OPINION.

The EXAMINER does not share in the views expressed by its Houston correspondent published in this morning's issue. It believes that no man can better represent this district in Congress than the present incumbent, the Hon. R. Q. Mills. He has served his people well. It is best to let well enough alone.

The Fort Worth Gazette contains a sensible paragraph on "Independent journalism." It expresses the gist of the matter when it asserts that "a paper may be uninterested and outspoken and yet consistent and loyal to its political principles." Political papers should lead the party. They stand as beacon lights to point out the breakers upon which the party might otherwise be wrecked.

Mr. Andrew Carnegie, the Pittsburgh millionaire, who has a controlling interest in a number of English newspapers, says that evening journals are the most powerful, and that they will be the papers of the future. They are certainly less expensive to run, but the time is not likely to come when the morning paper, with its latest telegrams from all over the world, will cease to be a necessity and a power in the land.

The Statesman has an able article showing how \$20,000 of the people's money, under his insinuation, Gov. John Ireland, and placed in the bank at Bryan to be used by the State Agricultural and Mechanical College in violation of the law and of the constitution, which provides that appropriations for the college can be drawn from the Treasury only on vouchers for services rendered, or an estimate of implements needed, etc.

Mr. Blaine, in his letter of acceptance, says that the only extravagance of which the Republican party has been guilty is that of pensioning the soldiers, their widows and orphans. He forgets the whisky trade, the star route frauds, squandering of the public domain on railway corporations, the attempt to squander millions of the people's money on the Education Bill, and other appropriations made to provide fat places for office-holders and to lengthen the lease of power of his party.

Why should His Incompetency Gov. Ireland, employ Taylor Moore, Esq., to assist in the prosecution in the land-forging cases? Are not the Attorney General, his assistant, the District and County Attorney, whose duty it is to attend to this matter, each and all fully competent to do so without putting the State to the expense of an assistant and unnecessary counsel in the cases? Mr. Ireland is a far-sighted man, especially when he can provide a "plat" take" for his friends at the expense of the people.

PROTECTION TO LABOR.

Mr. Blaine talks very learnedly in his letter of acceptance of the protection which the Republican party has given to American labor and to American capital. It has given such protection as wolves give to lambs. While it has protected American capital by high tariff laws, it has also afforded the capitalists the further protection of allowing them to import cheap pauper labor from Europe to operate the cotton mills and other manufactures of American capitalists, thereby bringing that labor into direct competition with American labor, to the impoverishment of millions of American residents. It has contributed by its system of protection to make the rich manufacturers richer and the masses poorer.

It has fostered and encouraged iniquities of all kinds and raised its highest officials to turn themselves into political stoolies and brokers, by which the great majority of the Republican office-holders in the country have become millionaires. Its system of protection has enabled a few men in the country to hold up colossal fortunes at the expense of the toiling millions, and under its rule the tendency is to turn the government into a money aristocracy and to convert its power into the hand of these money kings. The people cannot longer remain indifferent to these abuses of power. They have called upon the Republican party to give an account of its stewardship. They have determined that these political economists shall cease to feed and bathe on their poverty.

IT KNOWS.

Replying to the article in the Galveston News of the 18th, in which the News said that Gen. Ross had not said that he would not accept the nomination if tendered to him by the Houston Convention, the *Waco* Gazette says: "The Gazette knows that those who are nearest to Ross, who may be fairly presumed to be connected with his intentions and desires, regard his statements made not once, but several times, as final and unequivocal."

How does the Gazette know that General Ross said he would not accept the nomination if tendered to him? "These who are nearest to him assert that he has never made any such declaration. They further assert that there is a big difference between being a candidate for an office and accepting an nomination upon the platform.

[Note to the Editor: The express agent here assures us that our informant at Crawford should not suffer

any harm. It is given to him that he would not be a candidate for Governor, but the Gazette certainly cannot infer that General Ross is therefor under obligation not to accept the nomination if it is voluntarily tendered to him. Such a presumption is a violent one. The attempt of the Gazette to place such a construction is fitful. Why should the Gazette attempt to make it appear that General Ross cannot consistently accept the nomination if tendered to him? These who are nearest to him say that he would not be a candidate for Governor, but the Gazette certainly cannot infer that General Ross is therefore under obligation not to accept the nomination if it is voluntarily tendered to him. Such a presumption is a violent one. The attempt of the Gazette to place such a construction is fitful. Why should the Gazette attempt to make it appear that General Ross cannot consistently accept the nomination if tendered to him? These who are nearest to him say that he would not be a candidate for Governor, but the Gazette certainly cannot infer that General Ross is therefore under obligation not to accept the nomination if it is voluntarily tendered to him. Such a presumption is a violent one. The attempt of the Gazette to place such a construction is fitful. Why should the Gazette attempt to make it appear that General Ross cannot consistently accept the nomination if tendered to him? These who are nearest to him say that he would not be a candidate for Governor, but the Gazette certainly cannot infer that General Ross is therefore under obligation not to accept the nomination if it is voluntarily tendered to him. Such a presumption is a violent one. The attempt of the Gazette to place such a construction is fitful. Why should the Gazette attempt to make it appear that General Ross cannot consistently accept the nomination if tendered to him? These who are nearest to him say that he would not be a candidate for Governor, but the Gazette certainly cannot infer that General Ross is therefore under obligation not to accept the nomination if it is voluntarily tendered to him. Such a presumption is a violent one. The attempt of the Gazette to place such a construction is fitful. Why should the Gazette attempt to make it appear that General Ross cannot consistently accept the nomination if tendered to him? These who are nearest to him say that he would not be a candidate for Governor, but the Gazette certainly cannot infer that General Ross is therefore under obligation not to accept the nomination if it is voluntarily tendered to him. Such a presumption is a violent one. The attempt of the Gazette to place such a construction is fitful. Why should the Gazette attempt to make it appear that General Ross cannot consistently accept the nomination if tendered to him? These who are nearest to him say that he would not be a candidate for Governor, but the Gazette certainly cannot infer that General Ross is therefore under obligation not to accept the nomination if it is voluntarily tendered to him. Such a presumption is a violent one. The attempt of the Gazette to place such a construction is fitful. Why should the Gazette attempt to make it appear that General Ross cannot consistently accept the nomination if tendered to him? These who are nearest to him say that he would not be a candidate for Governor, but the Gazette certainly cannot infer that General Ross is therefore under obligation not to accept the nomination if it is voluntarily tendered to him. Such a presumption is a violent one. The attempt of the Gazette to place such a construction is fitful. Why should the Gazette attempt to make it appear that General Ross cannot consistently accept the nomination if tendered to him? These who are nearest to him say that he would not be a candidate for Governor, but the Gazette certainly cannot infer that General Ross is therefore under obligation not to accept the nomination if it is voluntarily tendered to him. Such a presumption is a violent one. The attempt of the Gazette to place such a construction is fitful. Why should the Gazette attempt to make it appear that General Ross cannot consistently accept the nomination if tendered to him? These who are nearest to him say that he would not be a candidate for Governor, but the Gazette certainly cannot infer that General Ross is therefore under obligation not to accept the nomination if it is voluntarily tendered to him. Such a presumption is a violent one. The attempt of the Gazette to place such a construction is fitful. Why should the Gazette attempt to make it appear that General Ross cannot consistently accept the nomination if tendered to him? These who are nearest to him say that he would not be a candidate for Governor, but the Gazette certainly cannot infer that General Ross is therefore under obligation not to accept the nomination if it is voluntarily tendered to him. Such a presumption is a violent one. The attempt of the Gazette to place such a construction is fitful. Why should the Gazette attempt to make it appear that General Ross cannot consistently accept the nomination if tendered to him? These who are nearest to him say that he would not be a candidate for Governor, but the Gazette certainly cannot infer that General Ross is therefore under obligation not to accept the nomination if it is voluntarily tendered to him. Such a presumption is a violent one. The attempt of the Gazette to place such a construction is fitful. Why should the Gazette attempt to make it appear that General Ross cannot consistently accept the nomination if tendered to him? These who are nearest to him say that he would not be a candidate for Governor, but the Gazette certainly cannot infer that General Ross is therefore under obligation not to accept the nomination if it is voluntarily tendered to him. Such a presumption is a violent one. The attempt of the Gazette to place such a construction is fitful. Why should the Gazette attempt to make it appear that General Ross cannot consistently accept the nomination if tendered to him? These who are nearest to him say that he would not be a candidate for Governor, but the Gazette certainly cannot infer that General Ross is therefore under obligation not to accept the nomination if it is voluntarily tendered to him. Such a presumption is a violent one. The attempt of the Gazette to place such a construction is fitful. Why should the Gazette attempt to make it appear that General Ross cannot consistently accept the nomination if tendered to him? These who are nearest to him say that he would not be a candidate for Governor, but the Gazette certainly cannot infer that General Ross is therefore under obligation not to accept the nomination if it is voluntarily tendered to him. Such a presumption is a violent one. The attempt of the Gazette to place such a construction is fitful. Why should the Gazette attempt to make it appear that General Ross cannot consistently accept the nomination if tendered to him? These who are nearest to him say that he would not be a candidate for Governor, but the Gazette certainly cannot infer that General Ross is therefore under obligation not to accept the nomination if it is voluntarily tendered to him. Such a presumption is a violent one. The attempt of the Gazette to place such a construction is fitful. Why should the Gazette attempt to make it appear that General Ross cannot consistently accept the nomination if tendered to him? These who are nearest to him say that he would not be a candidate for Governor, but the Gazette certainly cannot infer that General Ross is therefore under obligation not to accept the nomination if it is voluntarily tendered to him. Such a presumption is a violent one. The attempt of the Gazette to place such a construction is fitful. Why should the Gazette attempt to make it appear that General Ross cannot consistently accept the nomination if tendered to him? These who are nearest to him say that he would not be a candidate for Governor, but the Gazette certainly cannot infer that General Ross is therefore under obligation not to accept the nomination if it is voluntarily tendered to him. Such a presumption is a violent one. The attempt of the Gazette to place such a construction is fitful. Why should the Gazette attempt to make it appear that General Ross cannot consistently accept the nomination if tendered to him? These who are nearest to him say that he would not be a candidate for Governor, but the Gazette certainly cannot infer that General Ross is therefore under obligation not to accept the nomination if it is voluntarily tendered to him. Such a presumption is a violent one. The attempt of the Gazette to place such a construction is fitful. Why should the Gazette attempt to make it appear that General Ross cannot consistently accept the nomination if tendered to him? These who are nearest to him say that he would not be a candidate for Governor, but the Gazette certainly cannot infer that General Ross is therefore under obligation not to accept the nomination if it is voluntarily tendered to him. Such a presumption is a violent one. The attempt of the Gazette to place such a construction is fitful. Why should the Gazette attempt to make it appear that General Ross cannot consistently accept the nomination if tendered to him? These who are nearest to him say that he would not be a candidate for Governor, but the Gazette certainly cannot infer that General Ross is therefore under obligation not to accept the nomination if it is voluntarily tendered to him. Such a presumption is a violent one. The attempt of the Gazette to place such a construction is fitful. Why should the Gazette attempt to make it appear that General Ross cannot consistently accept the nomination if tendered to him? These who are nearest to him say that he would not be a candidate for Governor, but the Gazette certainly cannot infer that General Ross is therefore under obligation not to accept the nomination if it is voluntarily tendered to him. Such a presumption is a violent one. The attempt of the Gazette to place such a construction is fitful. Why should the Gazette attempt to make it appear that General Ross cannot consistently accept the nomination if tendered to him? These who are nearest to him say that he would not be a candidate for Governor, but the Gazette certainly cannot infer that General Ross is therefore under obligation not to accept the nomination if it is voluntarily tendered to him. Such a presumption is a violent one. The attempt of the Gazette to place such a construction is fitful. Why should the Gazette attempt to make it appear that General Ross cannot consistently accept the nomination if tendered to him? These who are nearest to him say that he would not be a candidate for Governor, but the Gazette certainly cannot infer that General Ross is therefore under obligation not to accept the nomination if it is voluntarily tendered to him. Such a presumption is a violent one. The attempt of the Gazette to place such a construction is fitful. Why should the Gazette attempt to make it appear that General Ross cannot consistently accept the nomination if tendered to him? These who are nearest to him say that he would not be a candidate for Governor, but the Gazette certainly cannot infer that General Ross is therefore under obligation not to accept the nomination if it is voluntarily tendered to him. Such a presumption is a violent one. The attempt of the Gazette to place such a construction is fitful. Why should the Gazette attempt to make it appear that General Ross cannot consistently accept the nomination if tendered to him? These who are nearest to him say that he would not be a candidate for Governor, but the Gazette certainly cannot infer that General Ross is therefore under obligation not to accept the nomination if it is voluntarily tendered to him. Such a presumption is a violent one. The attempt of the Gazette to place such a construction is fitful. Why should the Gazette attempt to make it appear that General Ross cannot consistently accept the nomination if tendered to him? These who are nearest to him say that he would not be a candidate for Governor, but the Gazette certainly cannot infer that General Ross is therefore under obligation not to accept the nomination if it is voluntarily tendered to him. Such a presumption is a violent one. The attempt of the Gazette to place such a construction is fitful. Why should the Gazette attempt to make it appear that General Ross cannot consistently accept the nomination if tendered to him? These who are nearest to him say that he would not be a candidate for Governor, but the Gazette certainly cannot infer that General Ross is therefore under obligation not to accept the nomination if it is voluntarily tendered to him. Such a presumption is a violent one. The attempt of the Gazette to place such a construction is fitful. Why should the Gazette attempt to make it appear that General Ross cannot consistently accept the nomination if tendered to him? These who are nearest to him say that he would not be a candidate for Governor, but the Gazette certainly cannot infer that General Ross is therefore under obligation not to accept the nomination if it is voluntarily tendered to him. Such a presumption is a violent one. The attempt of the Gazette to place such a construction is fitful. Why should the Gazette attempt to make it appear that General Ross cannot consistently accept the nomination if tendered to him? These who are nearest to him say that he would not be a candidate for Governor, but the Gazette certainly cannot infer that General Ross is therefore under obligation not to accept the nomination if it is voluntarily tendered to him. Such a presumption is a violent one. The attempt of the Gazette to place such a construction is fitful. Why should the Gazette attempt to make it appear that General Ross cannot consistently accept the nomination if tendered to him? These who are nearest to him say that he would not be a candidate for Governor, but the Gazette certainly cannot infer that General Ross is therefore under obligation not to accept the nomination if it is voluntarily tendered to him. Such a presumption is a violent one. The attempt of the Gazette to place such a construction is fitful. Why should the Gazette attempt to make it appear that General Ross cannot consistently accept the nomination if tendered to him? These who are nearest to him say that he would not be a candidate for Governor, but the Gazette certainly cannot infer that General Ross is therefore under obligation not to accept the nomination if it is voluntarily tendered to him. Such a presumption is a violent one. The attempt of the Gazette to place such a construction is fitful. Why should the Gazette attempt to make it appear that General Ross cannot consistently accept the nomination if tendered to him? These who are nearest to him say that he would not be a candidate for Governor, but the Gazette certainly cannot infer that General Ross is therefore under obligation not to accept the nomination if it is voluntarily tendered to him. Such a presumption is a violent one. The attempt of the Gazette to place such a construction is fitful. Why should the Gazette attempt to make it appear that General Ross cannot consistently accept the nomination if tendered to him? These who are nearest to him say that he would not be a candidate for Governor, but the Gazette certainly cannot infer that General Ross is therefore under obligation not to accept the nomination if it is voluntarily tendered to him. Such a presumption is a violent one. The attempt of the Gazette to place such a construction is fitful. Why should the Gazette attempt to make it appear that General Ross cannot consistently accept the nomination if tendered to him? These who are nearest to him say that he would not be a candidate for Governor, but the Gazette certainly cannot infer that General Ross is therefore under obligation not to accept the nomination if it is voluntarily tendered to him. Such a presumption is a violent one. The attempt of the Gazette to place such a construction is fitful. Why should the Gazette attempt to make it appear that General Ross cannot consistently accept the nomination if tendered to him? These who are nearest to him say that he would not be a candidate for Governor, but the Gazette certainly cannot infer that General Ross is therefore under obligation not to accept the nomination if it is voluntarily tendered to him. Such a presumption is a violent one. The attempt of the Gazette to place such a construction is fitful. Why should the Gazette attempt to make it appear that General Ross cannot consistently accept the nomination if tendered to him? These who are nearest to him say that he would not be a candidate for Governor, but the Gazette certainly cannot infer that General Ross is therefore under obligation not to accept the nomination if it is voluntarily tendered to him. Such a presumption is a violent one. The attempt of the Gazette to place such a construction is fitful. Why should the Gazette attempt to make it appear that General Ross cannot consistently accept the nomination if tendered to him? These who are nearest to him say that he would not be a candidate for Governor, but the Gazette certainly cannot infer that General Ross is therefore under obligation not to accept the nomination if it is voluntarily tendered to him. Such a presumption is a violent one. The attempt of the Gazette to place such a construction is fitful. Why should the Gazette attempt to make it appear that General Ross cannot consistently accept the nomination if tendered to him? These who are nearest to him say that he would not be a candidate for Governor, but the Gazette certainly cannot infer that General Ross is therefore under obligation not to accept the nomination if it is voluntarily tendered to him. Such a presumption is a violent one. The attempt of the Gazette to place such a construction is fitful. Why should the Gazette attempt to make it appear that General Ross cannot consistently accept the nomination if tendered to him? These who are nearest to him say that he would not be a candidate for Governor, but the Gazette certainly cannot infer that General Ross is therefore under obligation not to accept the nomination if it is voluntarily tendered to him. Such a presumption is a violent one. The attempt of the Gazette to place such a construction is fitful. Why should the Gazette attempt to make it appear that General Ross cannot consistently accept the nomination if tendered to him? These who are nearest to him say that he would not be a candidate for Governor, but the Gazette certainly cannot infer that General Ross is therefore under obligation not to accept the nomination if it is voluntarily tendered to him. Such a presumption is a violent one. The attempt of the Gazette to place such a construction is fitful. Why should the Gazette attempt to make it appear that General Ross cannot consistently accept the nomination if tendered to him? These who are nearest to him say that he would not be a candidate for Governor, but the Gazette certainly cannot infer that General Ross is therefore under obligation not to accept the nomination if it is voluntarily tendered to him. Such a presumption is a violent one. The attempt of the Gazette to place such a construction is fitful. Why should the Gazette attempt to make it appear that General Ross cannot consistently accept the nomination if tendered to him? These who are nearest to him say that he would not be a candidate for Governor, but the Gazette certainly cannot infer that General Ross is therefore under obligation not to accept the nomination if it is voluntarily tendered to him. Such a presumption is a violent one. The attempt of the Gazette to place such a construction is fitful. Why should the Gazette attempt to make it appear that General Ross cannot consistently accept the nomination if tendered to him? These who are nearest to him say that he would not be a candidate for Governor, but the Gazette certainly cannot infer that General Ross is therefore under obligation not to accept the nomination if it is voluntarily tendered to him. Such a presumption is a violent one. The attempt of the Gazette to place such a construction is fitful. Why should the Gazette attempt to make it appear that General Ross cannot consistently accept the nomination if tendered to him? These who are nearest to him say that he would not be a candidate for Governor, but the Gazette certainly cannot infer that General Ross is therefore under obligation not to accept the nomination if it is voluntarily tendered to him. Such a presumption is a violent one. The attempt of the Gazette to place such a construction is fitful. Why should the Gazette attempt to make it appear that General Ross cannot consistently accept the nomination if tendered to him? These who are nearest to him say that he would not be a candidate for Governor, but the Gazette certainly cannot infer that General Ross is therefore under obligation not to accept the nomination if it is voluntarily tendered to him. Such a presumption is a violent one. The attempt of the Gazette to place such a construction is fitful. Why should the Gazette attempt to make it appear that General Ross cannot consistently accept the nomination if tendered to him? These who are nearest to him say that he would not be a candidate for Governor, but the Gazette certainly cannot infer that General Ross is therefore under obligation not to accept the nomination if it is voluntarily tendered to him. Such a presumption is a violent one. The attempt of the Gazette to place such a construction is fitful. Why should the Gazette attempt to make it appear that General Ross cannot consistently accept the nomination if tendered to him? These who are nearest to him say that he would not be a candidate for Governor, but the Gazette certainly cannot infer that General Ross is therefore under obligation not to accept the nomination if it is voluntarily tendered to him. Such a presumption is a violent one. The attempt of the Gazette to place such a construction is fitful. Why should the Gazette attempt to make it appear that General Ross cannot consistently accept the nomination if tendered to him? These who are nearest to him say that he would not be a candidate for Governor, but the Gazette certainly cannot infer that General Ross is therefore under obligation not to accept the nomination if it is voluntarily tendered to him. Such a presumption is a violent one. The attempt of the Gazette to place such a construction is fitful. Why should the Gazette attempt to make it appear that General Ross cannot consistently accept the nomination if tendered to him? These who are nearest to him say that he would not be a candidate for Governor, but the Gazette certainly cannot infer that General Ross is therefore under obligation not to accept the nomination if it is voluntarily tendered to him. Such a presumption is a violent one. The attempt of the Gazette to place such a construction is fitful. Why should the Gazette attempt to make it appear that General Ross cannot consistently accept the nomination if tendered to him? These who are nearest to him say that he would not be a candidate for Governor, but the Gazette certainly cannot infer that General Ross is therefore under obligation not to accept the nomination if it is voluntarily tendered to him. Such a presumption is a violent one. The attempt of the Gazette to place such a construction is fitful. Why should the Gazette attempt to make it appear that General Ross cannot consistently accept the nomination if tendered to him? These who are nearest to him say that he would not be a candidate for Governor, but the Gazette certainly cannot infer that General Ross is therefore under obligation not to accept the nomination if it is voluntarily tendered to him. Such a presumption is a violent one. The attempt of the Gazette to place such a construction is fitful. Why should the Gazette attempt to make it appear that General Ross cannot consistently accept the nomination if tendered to him? These who are nearest to him say that he would not be a candidate for Governor, but the Gazette certainly cannot infer that General Ross is therefore under obligation not to accept the nomination if it is voluntarily tendered to him. Such a presumption is a violent one. The attempt of the Gazette to place such a construction is fitful. Why should the Gazette attempt to make it appear that General Ross cannot consistently accept the nomination if tendered to him? These who are nearest to him say that he would not be a candidate for Governor, but the Gazette certainly cannot infer that General Ross is therefore under obligation not to accept the nomination if it is voluntarily tendered to him. Such a presumption is a violent one. The attempt of the Gazette to place such a construction is fitful. Why should the Gazette attempt to make it appear that General Ross cannot consistently accept the nomination if tendered to him? These who are nearest to him say that he would not be a candidate for Governor, but the Gazette certainly cannot infer that General Ross is therefore under obligation not to accept the nomination if it is voluntarily tendered to him. Such a presumption is a violent one. The attempt of the Gazette to place such a construction is fitful. Why should the Gazette attempt to make it appear that General Ross cannot consistently accept the nomination if tendered to him? These who are nearest to him say that he would not be a candidate for Governor, but the Gazette certainly cannot infer that General Ross is therefore under obligation not to accept the nomination if it is voluntarily tendered to him. Such a presumption is a violent one. The attempt of the Gazette to place such a construction is fitful. Why should the Gazette attempt to make it appear that General Ross cannot consistently accept the nomination if tendered to him? These who are nearest to him say that he would not be a candidate for Governor, but the Gazette certainly cannot infer that General Ross is therefore under obligation not to accept the nomination if it is voluntarily tendered to him. Such a presumption is a violent one. The attempt of the Gazette to place such a construction is fitful. Why should the Gazette attempt to make it appear that General Ross cannot consistently accept the nomination if tendered to him? These who are nearest to him say that he would not be a candidate for Governor, but the Gazette certainly cannot infer that General Ross is therefore under obligation not to accept the nomination if it is voluntarily tendered to him. Such a presumption is a violent one. The attempt of the Gazette to place such a construction is fitful. Why should the Gazette attempt to make it appear that General Ross cannot consistently accept the nomination if tendered to him? These who are nearest to him say that he would not be a candidate for Governor, but the Gazette certainly cannot infer that General Ross is therefore under obligation not to accept the nomination if it is voluntarily tendered to him. Such a presumption is a violent one. The attempt of the Gazette to place such a construction is fitful. Why should the Gazette attempt to make it appear that General Ross cannot consistently accept the nomination if tendered to him? These who are nearest to him say that he would not be a candidate for Governor, but